B.N.BANDODKAR COLLEGE OF SCIENCE (AUTONOMOUS), THANE

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE

1.4.1 FEEDBACK ANALYSIS RELATED TO SYLLABUS 2024-25

STAKEHOLDERS

- **STUDENTS** - Majority of the students rated MSc part 1 syllabus excellent and MSc part 2 syllabus as very good

- **TEACHERS** - All teachers have given excellent rating to part 1 and 2 syllabus. Suggestions included curtailing some repetitions in part 1 syllabus.

- **ALUMNI** - The alumni rated both syllabi as very good and excellent for most parameters. Suggestions included inclusion of modern softwares, instruments and monitoring processes.

- **EMPLOYER** - Employers gave excellent and very good for most parameters while rating both syllabi

- **ACADEMIC PEERS** - The peers rated both syllabi as excellent. Common suggestions included increase in working hours for research project.

1.4.2 ACTION PLAN REPORT RELATED TO FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF SYLLABUS 2024-25

- Certain repetitions from part 1 syllabus will be rectified. Current softwares, instruments for monitoring will be included

- Opportunities to undertake research project in collaboration with organizations will be explored

I/C Principal
Prof. V.D.Manjramkar

Coordinator Dept. of EVS

# PG-Student's feedback related to Syllabus 2024-25

| Parameter	MSc Part 1 (NEP)	MSc Part 2 (NEP)
1.Design & Content of syllabus	Good 33%, Excellent 34%, Very Good 33%	Good 33%, Excellent 25%, Very Good 42%
2.Balance between Theory-Practical/ Tutorial	Good 33%, Excellent 67%	Good 58%, Excellent 25%, Very Good 17%
3.Innovative-ness	Good 33%, Excellent 34%, Very Good 33%	Good 50%, Excellent 17%, Very Good 33%
4.Knowledge Acquired	Good 33%, Excellent 34%, Very Good 33%	Good 42%, Excellent 33%, Very Good 25%
5. Useful for career	Good 33%, Excellent 67%	Good 33%, Excellent 34%, Very Good 33%
6. Skill acquired through job training/ Field project	Good 33%, Excellent 67%	Good 33%, Excellent 34%, Very Good 33%
7. Significant suggestions	Nil	Nil

Key - Excellent, Very Good, Good, Average & Needs Improvement

PG-Teacher's feedback related to Syllabus 2024-25

Parameter	MSc Part 1 (NEP)	MSc Part 2 (NEP)
1. Design, Content & volume of syllabus	100%	100%
2. Availability of Sufficient References	100%	100%
3. Suitability for setting question paper	100%	100%
4. Suitability for both slow & fast learners	100%	100%
5. Suitability for employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill development	33% / 67%	100%
6. Significant suggestions	Repetitive topics to be adjusted	Nil

Key - **Excellent**, **Very Good**, **Good**, **Average** & **Needs Improvement**

Alumni feedback related to Syllabus 2024-25

Parameter		Parameter	
1.Design & Content of syllabus	14% 43% 43%	4.Suitability for developing employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill development	14% 29% 57%
2.Innovativeness	29% 43% 28%	5.Suitability for local needs/ job demands	14% 43% 43%
3.Knowledge Acquired	14% 29% 57%	6. Significant Suggestions	inclusion of softwares, instrumentation in environmental monitoring

Key - **Excellent**, **Very Good**, **Good**, **Average** & **Needs Improvement**

Employer's feedback related to Syllabus 2024-25

Parameter		Parameter	
1.Design & Content	100%	6.Incorporation of industry relevant topics	50% 50%
2.Compatibility in procuring employment/ entrepreneurship	50% 50%	7.Incorporation of instrumentation/ techniques	50% 50%
3.Compatibility in procuring skill development	50% 50%	8.Incorporation of recent advancements	50% 50%
4.Innovativeness	50% 50%	9.Useful for proficiency	100%
5. Knowledge acquired	100%	10.Significant Suggestion	Nil

Key - **Excellent**, **Very Good**, **Good**, **Average** & **Needs Improvement**

PG-Academic Peers feedback related to Syllabus 2024-25

Parameter	MSc Part I	MSc Part II
1. Design & Content & Volume of syllabus	Very Good 43%, Excellent 57%	Very Good 14%, Excellent 86%
2. Balance between theory and practical/ tutorial	Very Good 14%, Excellent 86%	Good 14%, Excellent 43%, Very Good 43%
3. Suitability for setting question paper	Very Good 29%, Excellent 71%	Very Good 29%, Excellent 71%
4. Suitability for both slow & fast learners	Very Good 43%, Excellent 57%	Very Good 29%, Excellent 71%
5. Suitability for employability/ entrepreneurship / skill development	Very Good 29%, Excellent 71%	Very Good 43%, Excellent 57%
6. Significant Suggestions	Nil	Increase working hours for RP

Key - **Excellent**, **Very Good**, **Good**, **Average** & **Needs Improvement**